

Columbia University Human Subjects Protocol Data Sheet

General Information

Protocol: AAAS0275(M00Y01) **Protocol Status:** Approved
Effective Date: 09/10/2018 **Expiration Date:** 09/09/2023
Originating Department Code: GSA Political Science (POLS) (481300X)
Principal Investigator: Phillips, Justin (jhp2121)
From what Columbia campus does this research originate: Morningside or Lamont Doherty
Title: Cooperative Congressional Election Study: Columbia University Team Module
Protocol Version #: **Abbreviated Title:** CCES Columbia Module
Was this protocol previously assigned a number by an IRB: No

Is the purpose of this submission to obtain a "Not Human Subjects Research" determination?

No

Attributes

Special review type: Check all that apply or check "None of the Above" box.

- Review for 45 CFR 46.118 Determination (involvement of human subjects is anticipated but is not yet defined)
 Funding review for Administrative IRB approval (such as for Center or Training Grants)
 None of the above

IRB of record information: Will a Columbia IRB be the IRB that is responsible for providing review, approval, and oversight for this study?

Yes

Select the most appropriate response:

Columbia will be the IRB of record for the study procedures conducted by Columbia researchers (Note: this response will apply to most submissions).

Is this research part of a multicenter study?

No

Please indicate if any of the following University resources are utilized:

- Cancer Center Clinical Protocol Data Management Compliance Core (CPDM)
 CTSA-Irving Institute Clinical Research Resource (CRR)
 CTSA- Irving Institute Columbia Community Partnership for Health (CCPH)
 None of the above

Background

Abbreviated Submission:

The IRB has an abbreviated submission process for multicenter studies supported by industry or NIH cooperative groups (e.g., ACTG, HVTN, NCI oncology group studies, etc.), and other studies that have a complete stand-alone protocol. The process requires completion of all Rascal fields that provide information

regarding local implementation of the study. However, entering study information into all of the relevant Rascal fields is not required, as the Columbia IRBs will rely on the attached stand-alone (e.g., sponsor's) protocol for review of the overall objectives.

If you select the Abbreviated Submission checkbox and a section is not covered by the attached stand-alone protocol, you will need to go back and provide this information in your submission.

Study Purpose and Rationale:

Provide pertinent background description with references that are related to the need to conduct this study. If this is a clinical trial, the background should include both preclinical and clinical data. Be brief and to the point.

Abbreviated Submission - This information is included in an attached stand-alone protocol. Proceed to the next question

The purpose of this project is to study Americans' political attitudes towards people and groups that are generally perceived as different along the lines of race, language, gender identity, and sexual orientation. We ask a battery of questions to gauge knowledge of and support for LGBTQ rights and the case of Puerto Rico after the 2017 hurricane. Issues of (in)tolerance of diverse groups have been salient in the national political stage for some time, especially since the 2016 presidential election. This study aims to explore the factors that shape such opinions. In addition, it seeks to gauge Americans' *policy* attitudes--i.e., whether respondents support or oppose different types of government action.

Study Design:

Describe the methodology that will be used in this study, covering such factors as retrospective vs. prospective data collection, interventional vs. non-interventional, randomized vs. non-randomized, observational, experimental, ethnography, etc.

Abbreviated Submission - This information is included in an attached stand-alone protocol. Proceed to the next question

The methodology consists of an online survey experiment on 1,000 American subjects. The survey consists of two waves: one before the upcoming 2018 midterm election and one after the election. The pre-election phase will be administered in October and the post-election phase will be administered in November. In order to study attitudes toward the LGBTQ+ community, we ask a battery of questions that range from general knowledge (e.g., whether respondents have any gay friends or family members) to specific policies (e.g., the transgender bathroom bill). In order to study the effect of racial and linguistic stereotypes on attitudes toward Puerto Rico, we conduct a survey experiment. Respondents will be randomly assigned to watch one of four 30-second videos (which will be embedded in the survey) that explain the needs of Hurricane Maria victims in Puerto Rico. **The video will be embedded in the middle of the survey. Respondents will answer questions before and after watching the video, but no questions will appear on the same page as the video.** The content of the four videos will be exactly the same, with the exception of the person delivering the message. We define treatment conditions on two dimensions: race and language. In all of the videos a young female Puerto Rican actor will deliver the same message, but one of the actresses will be black and the other will be white. Each of the two women will record one version of the video in English and one (otherwise identical) version in Spanish. The videos will all be filmed in the same location at the same time, will be edited to include English subtitles, and the actresses will be similarly dressed and trained to give the same spiel using similar body language. The race and language of the actresses will be randomized. Thus, the four possible treatment conditions are: (1) White-Spanish, (2) White-English, (3) Black-Spanish, and (4)

Black-English. We're interested in seeing whether respondents respond differently to such videos depending on the perceived identity of the person who shares the content, and whether activating racial and linguistic prejudices affects policy preferences.

Statistical Procedures:

Provide sufficient details so that the adequacy of the statistical procedures can be evaluated including power calculations to justify the number of participants to be enrolled into the study. Definitions of subject terms such as enrolled and accrued as used for Rascal submissions can be found in the Subjects section.

Abbreviated Submission - This information is included in an attached stand-alone protocol. Proceed to the next question

We will estimate the treatment effect of each variable using ordinary least squares (OLS) and logistic regressions. The goal is to obtain a sample size of 250 individuals per treatment condition in order to detect treatment effects. The total number of participants will be 1,000.

Exempt and Expedited

Is the purpose of this submission to obtain an exemption determination, in accordance with 45CFR46.101(b):

Yes

Please select the applicable exemption category(ies) and answer the required category-specific questions. In order for your study to be exempt, all of the procedures in this project must fall into one or more of the exemption categories listed below.

Note that exemption will not apply if the proposed research:

- 1. Involves prisoners;**
- 2. Presents any ethical concerns;**
- 3. Places subjects at greater than minimal risk of harm.**

Category 1: Research conducted in an established or commonly accepted educational setting?

Category 2: Research that involves the use of educational tests, survey procedures, interview procedures and/or observation of public behavior in which the researchers are not involved?

Briefly describe the types of educational tests, survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior.

This is an online survey that asks several questions about political opinions in the US. Subjects will not be identifiable through their responses nor will they be placed at risk for harm.

Will your data be recorded with identifiers linked to the subjects, even if the data are stored in a coded manner?

Yes

If subjects' responses were disclosed outside of the research would subjects be placed at risk of harm?

No

Briefly describe why the information recorded does not place the subject at risk of criminal or civil liability or would not be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, or reputation.

Please refer to "YouGov IRB Information" attachment.

Does your research involve minors?

No

Category 3: Research that involves the use of educational tests, survey procedures, interview procedures and/or observation of public behavior but did not meet the criteria for category 2 above?

- Category 4: Research that involves the access to, collection or study of, existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic specimens?
- Category 5: Research or demonstration projects that are subject to the approval of the head of a federal agency or department?
- Category 6: Research that involves consuming wholesome foods without additives?

Is the purpose of this submission to seek expedited review , as per the federal categories referenced in 45CFR46.110?

Yes

Is the risk of harm to which subjects will be exposed as a result of this research no more than minimal?

Yes

Select the category or categories of research into which study procedures fall.

Category 1 - Clinical studies of drugs and medical devices only when condition (a) or (b) is met. (a) Research on drugs for which an investigational new drug application (21 CFR Part 312) is not required. (Note: Research on marketed drugs that significantly increases the risks or decreases the acceptability of the risks associated with the use of the product is not eligible for expedited review.) (b) Research on medical devices for which (i) an investigational device exemption application (21 CFR Part 812) is not required; or (ii) the medical device is cleared/approved for marketing and the medical device is being used in accordance with its cleared/approved labeling.

Category 2 - Collection of blood samples by finger stick, heel stick, ear stick, or venipuncture as follows: (a) from healthy, nonpregnant adults who weigh at least 110 pounds. For these subjects, the amounts drawn may not exceed 550 ml in an 8 week period and collection may not occur more frequently than 2 times per week; or (b) from other adults and children, considering the age, weight, and health of the subjects, the collection procedure, the amount of blood to be collected, and the frequency with which it will be collected. For these subjects, the amount drawn may not exceed the lesser of 50 ml or 3 ml per kg in an 8 week period and collection may not occur more frequently than 2 times per week.

PLEASE NOTE: If blood is collected through an existing catheter, you do not qualify for expedited review under this category.

Category 3 - Prospective collection of biological specimens for research purposes by noninvasive means. Examples include: (a) hair and nail clippings in a nondisfiguring manner; (b) deciduous teeth at time of exfoliation or if routine patient care indicates a need for extraction; (c) permanent teeth if routine patient care indicates a need for extraction; (d) excreta and external secretions (including sweat); (e) uncannulated saliva collected either in an unstimulated fashion or stimulated by chewing gumbase or wax or by applying a dilute citric solution to the tongue; (f) placenta removed at delivery; (g) amniotic fluid obtained at the time of rupture of the membrane prior to or during labor; (h) supra- and subgingival dental plaque and calculus, provided the collection procedure is not more invasive than routine prophylactic scaling of the teeth and the process is accomplished in accordance with accepted prophylactic techniques; (i) mucosal and skin cells collected by buccal scraping or swab, skin swab, or mouth washings; (j) sputum collected after saline mist nebulization.

Category 4 - Collection of data through noninvasive procedures (not involving general anesthesia or sedation) routinely employed in clinical practice, excluding procedures involving x-rays or microwaves. Where medical devices are employed, they must be cleared/approved for marketing. (Studies intended to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the medical device are not generally eligible for expedited review, including studies of cleared medical devices for new indications.) Examples include: (a) physical sensors that are applied either to the surface of the body or at a distance and do not involve input of significant amounts of energy into the subject or an invasion of the subject's privacy; (b) weighing or testing sensory acuity; (c) magnetic resonance imaging; (d) electrocardiography, electroencephalography, thermography, detection of naturally occurring

radioactivity, electroretinography, ultrasound, diagnostic infrared imaging, doppler blood flow, and echocardiography; (e) moderate exercise, muscular strength testing, body composition assessment, and flexibility testing where appropriate given the age, weight, and health of the individual.

[] Category 5 - Research involving materials (data, documents, records, or specimens) that have been collected, or will be collected solely for nonresearch purposes (such as medical treatment or diagnosis).

PLEASE NOTE: If extra tissue is being taken during a routine clinical procedure (i.e. additional tissue that is not being taken for diagnostic purposes), you do not qualify for expedited review under this category.

[] Category 6 - Collection of data from voice, video, digital, or image recordings made for research purposes.

[x] Category 7 - Research on individual or group characteristics or behavior (including, but not limited to, research on perception, cognition, motivation, identity, language, communication, cultural beliefs or practices, and social behavior) or research employing survey, interview, oral history, focus group, program evaluation, human factors evaluation, or quality assurance methodologies. (NOTE: Some research in this category may be exempt from the HHS regulations for the protection of human subjects. 45 CFR 46.101(b)(2) and (b)(3). This listing refers only to research that is not exempt.)

Do all procedures fall into one or more of the categories listed above?

Y

NOTE: This project appears to be eligible for expedited review.

Funding

Is there any external funding or support that is applied for or awarded, or are you the recipient of a gift, for this project?

No

Locations

Location Type	Facility Name	Domestic or International	Geographic Location	Local IRB Ethics Approval	Local Site Approval
Offsite	Survey is entirely online, administered by YouGov	Domestic	Online/Virtual	Unsure if approval is needed	No, approval is not required

Personnel

UNI/Phone	Name	Role	Department	Edit/View	Obtaining Informed Consent
jhp2121 212-854-0741	Phillips, Justin	Principal Investigator	A&S Political Science (401310X)	Edit	N
ag389 212-851-2142	Gelman, Andrew	Investigator	A&S Statistics (404510X)	Edit	N
vr2341 787-673-0660	Rivera-Burgos, Viviana	Investigator	A&S Political Science Students (4013103)	Edit	N

UNI/Phone	Name	Role	Department	Edit/View	Obtaining Informed Consent
	Roles and Experience: I am a fifth-year PhD candidate in the Political Science Department. My role is to design the survey experiment, which includes writing the script for the video, hiring actors and videographer, directing the filming of the video, overseeing the editing of the video, and writing survey questions. YouGov will administer the survey; we will then download the data, analyze it, and report the results.				

Training and COI											
The PI must ensure that each individual that is added as personnel has met the training requirements for this study (http://www.cumc.columbia.edu/dept/irb/education/index.html). For help identifying which research compliance trainings you may be required to take, visit the Research Compliance Training Finder .											
UNI	Name	COI	HIPAA	HSP (CITI)	Research with Minors (CITI)	FDA-Regulated Research (CITI)	S-I	CRC	Good Clinical Practice (GCP)	GCP - Third-party tracking	Genetic Research Consent
jhp2121	Phillips, Justin	11/08/2017		12/24/2015							
ag389	Gelman, Andrew	07/17/2018	06/23/2004	09/27/2016	09/27/2016						
vr2341	Rivera-Burgos, Viviana	08/08/2018		01/25/2018							

Departmental Approvers

Electronic Signature: Justin Phillips (401310X) - Principal Investigator Date: 08/12/2018
 Electronic Signature: Andrew Gelman (404510X) - Investigator Date: 08/09/2018
 Electronic Signature: Viviana Rivera-Burgos (4013103) - Investigator Date: 09/06/2018

Privacy & Data Security

Indicate the methods by which data/research records will be maintained or stored (select all that apply):

Hardcopy (i.e., paper)

Electronic

Where will the data be stored?

Y

On a System

On an Endpoint

Does this study involve the receipt or collection of Sensitive Data?

No

Provide a description of how the confidentiality of study data will be ensured, addressing concerns or protections that specifically relate to the data storage elements identified above (e.g. hard copy, electronic)

system, and/or endpoint):

Personally identifiable information, demographic information, and Operating Information will be stored in the YouGov databases, which are located in the United States. YouGov uses intrusion prevention technologies to protect information held in their servers, and requires all employees to abide by their privacy policy and be subject to disciplinary action if they violate it. YouGov also backs up their systems to protect the integrity of the personally identifiable and personal information they collect. Access to confidential respondent information is limited to authorized users in the company's survey and information services groups and is password protected. Access rights can be revoked at short notice, are granted only on a need basis, and password security is strictly enforced. YouGov has not experienced any known security breaches since inception and regularly audits its security procedures and has penetration tests conducted.

Is there or will there be a Certificate of Confidentiality (CoC) for this research?

No

Provide a description of the protections in place to safeguard participants' privacy while information is being collected:

Subjects may complete the study on a device and location of their choice. No identifying personal information is transmitted while the survey is taken. The responses are identified only by an unique, coded "visa" that can be linked with the respondent's personal information only on the database server behind a firewall in a secure local zone.

Procedures

Is this project a clinical trial?

No

Is this project associated with, or an extension of, an existing Rascal protocol?

No

Do study procedures involve any of the following?

Analysis of existing data and/or prospective record review

No

Audio and/or video recording of research subjects

No

Behavioral Intervention?

No

Biological specimens (collection or use of)

No

Cancer-related research

No

Drugs or Biologics

No

Future use of data and/or specimens

No

Genetic research

No

Human embryos or human embryonic stem cells

No

Imaging procedures or radiation

No

Medical Devices

No

Surgical procedures that would not otherwise be conducted or are beyond standard of care

No

Will any of the following qualitative research methods be used?

Survey/interview/questionnaire

Yes

NOTE: You must attach a PDF version of the survey(s)/interview(s)/questionnaire(s) to this protocol prior to submission.

Systematic observation of public or group behavior

No

Program evaluation

No

Will any of the following tests or evaluations be used?

Cognitive testing

No

Educational testing

No

Non-invasive physical measurements

No

Taste testing

No

Is there an external protocol that describes ALL procedures in this study?

No

Please describe ALL study procedures in detail.

NOTE: Be sure to detail all of the procedures above to which a "yes" response was selected. Also detail any additional procedures that may or may not fall into the categories listed above.

Please refer to the Background/Study Design section and to the attached survey instrument.

Recruitment And Consent

Recruitment:

Describe how participants will be recruited:

The YouGov panel, a proprietary opt-in survey panel, is comprised of 1.8 million U.S. residents who have agreed to participate in YouGov's Web surveys. The primary method of recruitment for the YouGov panel is Web advertising campaigns that target respondents based on their keyword searches.

Select all methods by which participants will be recruited:

- Study does not involve recruitment procedures
- Person to Person
- Radio
- Newspapers
- Direct Mail
- Website

URL: www.yougov.com

- Email
- Television
- Telephone
- Flyer/Handout
- Newsletter/Magazine/Journal
- ResearchMatch
- CUMC RecruitMe

Additional Study Information: Please add a description of your study as you would like it to be displayed on the RecruitMe website.

Informed Consent Process:

Informed Consent Process, Waiver or Exemption: Select all that apply

- Informed consent with written documentation will be obtained from the research participant or appropriate representative.
- Informed consent will be obtained but a waiver of written documentation of consent (i.e., agreement to participate in the research without a signature on a consent document) is requested.
- A waiver of some or all elements of informed consent (45 CFR 46.116) is requested.
- Planned Emergency Research with an exception from informed consent as per 21 CFR 50.24.
- Informed consent is not required; this is exempt research.

Although informed consent is not required for exempt research, when there will be interaction with potential subjects for the purpose of the project, it is recommended that there be a process to provide information about the research (e.g., the elements of informed consent could be provided in an information sheet or consent script) and allow subjects the opportunity to confirm their agreement to participate.

Describe how participants will be informed about the research, if applicable:

You are invited to participate in a survey on national and community affairs conducted by YouGov in conjunction with 50 of the nation's leading universities and research institutes.

The goal of this survey is to obtain opinions on current events. You will be asked a number of questions on current events. Your participation is voluntary. You are free not to answer any question or to withdraw from the study at any time. This poll should take approximately 15-20 minutes to complete.

A report of the results of this study will be provided to you upon request. In order to analyze responses to our questionnaire, your answers will be recorded. No identifying information about you will be made public and any views you express will be kept completely confidential.

Findings from this study will be reported in scholarly journals, at academic seminars, and at research

association meetings. The data will be stored at a secured location and retained indefinitely. A benefit from participating in this study is that it may increase awareness of current events.

Should you have questions regarding the research project, please contact Kelly Connor at 1.866.749.8100.

Do you agree to participate in the study?

Yes

No

Informed consent is not required for exempt research but is recommended for such research when there will be interaction with research participants for the purpose of the research.

Subject Language

Enrollment of non-English speaking subjects is not expected.

During the course of the study, if non-English speaking subjects are encountered, refer to the IRB's policy on the Enrollment of Non-English Speaking Subjects in Research for further details (<http://www.cumc.columbia.edu/dept/irb/policies/documents/Nonenglishspeakingsubjects.Revised.FINALDRAFT.111909.website.doc>)

Capacity to Provide Consent:

Do you anticipate using surrogate consent or is research being done in a population where capacity to consent may be questionable?

No

Research Aims & Abstracts

Research Question(s)/Hypothesis(es):

What are the effects of in-group and out-group distinctions on political attitudes? For instance, do the race and language of hurricane victims affect white Americans' attitudes toward federal aid and support for Puerto Rico more broadly?

Scientific Abstract:

Attitudes toward minorities--defined along the lines of race, language, gender identity, and sexual orientation--in the United States have become increasingly polarized and salient in recent years, especially since the 2016 presidential election. This study seeks to understand the opinions held by the public regarding these minority groups, and how stereotypes associated with these groups affect Americans' policy preferences. We describe and analyze observational and experimental survey data to answer these questions.

Lay Abstract:

IRB-AAAS0275



Attitudes toward minorities--defined along the lines of race, language, gender identity, and sexual orientation--in the United States have become increasingly polarized and salient in recent years, especially since the 2016 presidential election. This study seeks to understand the opinions held by the public regarding these minority groups, and how stereotypes associated with these groups affect Americans' policy preferences. We describe and analyze observational and experimental survey data to answer these questions.

Risks, Benefits & Monitoring

Abbreviated Submission:

The IRB has an abbreviated submission process for multicenter studies supported by industry or NIH cooperative groups (e.g., ACTG, HVTN, NCI oncology group studies, etc.), and other studies that have a complete stand-alone protocol. The process requires completion of all Rascal fields that provide information regarding local implementation of the study. However, entering study information into all of the relevant Rascal fields is not required, as the Columbia IRBs will rely on the attached stand-alone (e.g., sponsor's) protocol for review of the overall objectives. .

If you select the Abbreviated Submission checkbox and a section is not covered by the attached stand-alone protocol, you will need to go back and provide this information in your submission.

Potential Risks:

Provide information regarding all risks to participants that are directly related to participation in this protocol, including any potential for a breach of confidentiality. Risks associated with any of the items described in the Procedures section of this submission should be outlined here if they are not captured in a stand-alone protocol. Risks of procedures that individuals would be exposed to regardless of whether they choose to participate in this research need not be detailed in this section, unless evaluation of those risks is the focus of this research. When applicable, the likelihood of certain risks should be explained and data on risks that have been encountered in past studies should be provided.

Abbreviated Submission - This information is included in an attached stand-alone protocol. Proceed to the next question

There are no potential risks.

Potential Benefits:

Provide information regarding any anticipated benefits of participating in this research. There should be a rational description of why such benefits are expected based on current knowledge. If there is unlikely to be direct benefit to participants/subjects, describe benefits to society. Please note that elements of participation such as compensation, access to medical care, receiving study results, etc. are not considered benefits of research participation.

Abbreviated Submission - This information is included in an attached stand-alone protocol. Proceed to the next question

This study will develop our understanding of the effects of racial and linguistic stereotypes on political attitudes and support for specific policies.

Alternatives:

If this research involves an intervention that presents greater than minimal risk to participants, describe available alternative interventions and provide data to support their efficacy and/or availability. Note, participants always

have the option not to participate in research.

Abbreviated Submission - This information is included in an attached stand-alone protocol. Proceed to the next question

Not applicable.

Data and Safety Monitoring:

Describe how data and safety will be monitored locally and, if this is a multi-center study, how data and safety will be monitored across sites as well.

Abbreviated Submission - This information is included in an attached stand-alone protocol. Proceed to the next question

Not applicable.

Subjects

Unless otherwise noted, the information entered in this section should reflect the number of subjects enrolled or accrued under the purview of Columbia researchers, whether at Columbia or elsewhere.

Target enrollment:

1,000

Number anticipated to be enrolled in the next approval period:

1,000

Does this study involve screening/assessment procedures to determine subject eligibility?

No

Is this a multi-center study?

No

Does this study have one or more components that apply to a subset of the overall study population (e.g. Phase 1/2, sub-studies)?

No

Target Enrollment Demographics:

Population Gender

Females	Males	Non Specific
50%	50%	0%

Population Age

0-7	8-17	18-65	>65	Non Specific
0%	0%	90%	10%	0%

Population Race

American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Black or African American	White	More than One Race	Non-Specific
2%	6%	2%	20%	60%	10%	0%

Population Ethnicity

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino	Non-Specific
20%	80%	0%

Vulnerable Populations as per 45 CFR 46:

Will children/minors be enrolled

No

Will pregnant women/fetuses/neonates be targeted for enrollment?

No

Will prisoners be targeted for enrollment?

No

Other Vulnerable Populations:

Individuals lacking capacity to provide consent

CU/NYPH Employees/Residents/Fellows/Interns/Students

Economically disadvantaged

Educationally disadvantaged

Non-English speaking

Other Vulnerable populations

None of the Populations listed above will be targeted for Enrollment

Subject Population Justification:

The makeup of the subject population is similar to that of the United States population in terms of sex, race, and ethnicity.

Does this study involve compensation or reimbursement to subjects?

Yes

Describe and justify reimbursement/compensation:

Survey respondents accumulate points which can later be redeemed for rewards. There are a number of reward options like: tote bags, t-shirts and PrePaid Gift Cards. PrePaid Gift Cards include brands such as VISA, Amazon, Best Buy, Walmart and iTunes to name a few.

Are subjects eligible for compensation of \$600 or more in a calendar year?

No

Documents

Archived	Document Identifier	Document Type	File Name	Active	Stamped	Date Attached	Created By
No	YouGov Consent Form	Consent Form/Addendum	YouGov_Consent_Form.pdf	Y		08/08/2018	Viviana Rivera-Burgos (vr2341)
No	Participation Agreement	Other	Participation Agreement.pdf	Y		09/06/2018	Viviana Rivera-Burgos (vr2341)
No	Purchase Order	Other	Purchase Order.pdf	Y		09/06/2018	Viviana Rivera-Burgos (vr2341)
No	YouGov IRB Information	Other	YouGov_IRB Information_Jun2017.pdf	Y		08/08/2018	Viviana Rivera-Burgos (vr2341)
No	Post-Election Survey	Study Material/Instrument	Columbia_Post-Election_Module_CCES18_IRBVersion.pdf	Y		08/08/2018	Viviana Rivera-Burgos (vr2341)
No	Pre-Election Survey	Study Material/Instrument	Columbia_Pre-Election_Module_CCES18_IRBVersion.pdf	Y		08/08/2018	Viviana Rivera-Burgos (vr2341)
No	Video Script	Study Material/Instrument	Video Script.pdf	Y		09/06/2018	Viviana Rivera-Burgos (vr2341)